

A consolidation of Feb. 6, 1955, of the Idaho Evening Times and the Idaho Falls Journal, is now published daily and Sunday at 110 Second Street West, Twin Falls, Idaho, by the Times-News Publishing Company. The paper is the successor to the Idaho Falls Journal, the paper office in Twin Falls, Idaho, under the act of March 8, 1937.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

BY MAIL—PAPERS IN ADVANCE

For the month	\$1.25
By three months	\$3.00
By six months	\$5.50
Outside State of Idaho	\$6.00
By the month	\$1.50
By six months	\$3.00
By the month	\$1.50
By six months	\$3.00

All notices required to be given in order to meet requirements for insertion to be published weekly will be published in the Thursday issue of this paper, previous to Sec. 8051 T.A.

NATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

424 Market Street, San Francisco, Calif.

SAME OLD STORY

Two months of the second session of the 83rd congress have now been frittered away on political sideshows. These have furnished a lot of oratory, name-calling and frivolous newspaper copy, but that's about all.

Absolutely nothing has been completed on President Eisenhower's bold new middle-of-the-road program, which is supposed to save the country, and return and enlarge Republican control of the 84th congress.

Only 12 bills have been signed into law by the President in these first two months of 1954. Only two of these bills in the nature of emergency farm legislation, can be considered important. The other 10 measures are completely routine, tricky-track. Elections simply aren't won on performances like that.

Oh yes. There is one other measure passed by congress and awaiting action by the President. It would raise pensions for members of congress and their employees.

Major legislative proposals are strewn all over the place. Raising the national debt limit from \$75 billion to \$90 billion, statehood for Alaska and authorization for an air force academy have passed the house and are pending business before the senate.

Granting immunity to congressional witnesses-informers and authorization for U. S. participation in the St. Lawrence seaway have passed the senate and are pending in the house.

Stuck in committees of one chamber or the other are such important measures as Taft-Hartley law revision, social security expansion, granting the vote to 18-year-olds, forfeiture of citizenship by communist conspirators against the U. S., increase in postal rates, easier terms for home building and alum re-development loans.

The house ways and means committee is just completing a tremendous job of revising the U. S. tax code. The resulting bill, said to be almost 900 pages long, is almost ready for unveil.

But nobody seems to know just what this bill will do in the way of cutting taxes and decreasing government revenues needed to balance the budget. The result may be good and it may be terrible.

What all this haphazard lawmaking seems to prove, if anything, is that the congress needs a general manager or an efficiency expert of some kind to streamline its S. O. P.—its standard operating procedure—as the armed services call it.

The age of legislating by instinct, usually felt in the form of a kick in the pants from a campaign contributor or other interested constituent, should be over.

If the task of the congress is to be systematized and the workload more evenly distributed over the legislative half-year, another congressional reorganization act would seem to be in order. The last one was passed in 1946.

Republican Majority Leader William F. Knowland has made a start in the senate by proposing committee procedure and seniority reform. Certainly the senate judiciary committee's performance over the confirmation of Earl Warren as chief justice of the supreme court added nothing to congressional prestige.

The way things are shaping up now, after all the committees have gone through their investigatory orgies, there will be a plenitude of business in the last frantic weeks of the session. There will be a mad rush to get home by Fourth of July. That way, the congressmen will have plenty of time to play politics. It's the one thing they seem to know how to do best now.

NEW RED PROPS

Air force intelligence officers rushed to the offices of Aviation Week magazine the other day when that publication printed two photographs of brand-new turbo-prop Russian bombers. The turbo-prop is a combination of jet and propeller power which both the U. S. air force and navy are trying hard to perfect.

The pictures showed a six-engine plane called the TU-200 and a four-engine bomber called the IL-38. They are supposed to be the latest in Soviet bombers, but air force intelligence apparently had no comparable information on them. The magazine gladly gave out copies of the photos, but would not reveal how it got the originals.

The most disturbing thing about the pictures to the air force was that they showed a big blimp under the nose of the planes. What that indicated was that the Russians might have something similar to the U. S. air force's very efficient and supersecret radar bombing system.

OVERPOWERING SPEECH

Pentagon sources are quietly trying to debunk the recent speech of Rep. W. Sterling Cole of New York, chairman of the joint congressional committee on atomic energy, in which he described the fantastic power of the hydrogen bomb.

"They made the same kind of statements about the power of atomic bombs," says our Pentagoner, "but when we got to really test it carefully, we found it wasn't nearly the tremendous thing they claimed it was. We think the H-bomb will turn out the same way."

TUCKER'S NATIONAL WHIRLIGIG

WASHINGTON—The politically important Republican organization of Pennsylvania is cited on Capitol Hill as exhibit A in the general indictment of Eisenhower-Adams patronage policies. Although every GOP delegation deplored the general graft, it is the Republicans who are regarded as "the most threatening to party harmony and success."

Pennsylvania has no representation in the cabinet, in 90% of the executive departments, and in 100% of the congressional committees. Although it is a leading industrial state and in a majority of taxes, it has no voice in the various agencies which regulate commerce, manufacturers, transportation, trade and finance. Consequently, the Republicans claim, it is the Democratic South which is Washington.

It is not due to the failure of its politicians to submit patronage requests to Washington, but to the fact that again, the solid GOP delegation—two senators and 10 representatives—have backed candidates for high and humble posts, from house sergeant-at-arms to the federal Trade and Interstate commerce.

Two months of the second session of the 83rd congress have now been frittered away on political sideshows. These have furnished a lot of oratory, name-calling and frivolous newspaper copy, but that's about all.

Absolutely nothing has been completed on President Eisenhower's bold new middle-of-the-road program, which is supposed to save the country, and return and enlarge Republican control of the 84th congress.

Only 12 bills have been signed into law by the President in these first two months of 1954. Only two of these bills in the nature of emergency farm legislation, can be considered important. The other 10 measures are completely routine, tricky-track. Elections simply aren't won on performances like that.

Oh yes. There is one other measure passed by congress and awaiting action by the President. It would raise pensions for members of congress and their employees.

Major legislative proposals are strewn all over the place. Raising the national debt limit from \$75 billion to \$90 billion, statehood for Alaska and authorization for an air force academy have passed the house and are pending business before the senate.

Granting immunity to congressional witnesses-informers and authorization for U. S. participation in the St. Lawrence seaway have passed the senate and are pending in the house.

Stuck in committees of one chamber or the other are such important measures as Taft-Hartley law revision, social security expansion, granting the vote to 18-year-olds, forfeiture of citizenship by communist conspirators against the U. S., increase in postal rates, easier terms for home building and alum re-development loans.

The house ways and means committee is just completing a tremendous job of revising the U. S. tax code. The resulting bill, said to be almost 900 pages long, is almost ready for unveil.

But nobody seems to know just what this bill will do in the way of cutting taxes and decreasing government revenues needed to balance the budget. The result may be good and it may be terrible.

What all this haphazard lawmaking seems to prove, if anything, is that the congress needs a general manager or an efficiency expert of some kind to streamline its S. O. P.—its standard operating procedure—as the armed services call it.

The age of legislating by instinct, usually felt in the form of a kick in the pants from a campaign contributor or other interested constituent, should be over.

If the task of the congress is to be systematized and the workload more evenly distributed over the legislative half-year, another congressional reorganization act would seem to be in order. The last one was passed in 1946.

Republican Majority Leader William F. Knowland has made a start in the senate by proposing committee procedure and seniority reform. Certainly the senate judiciary committee's performance over the confirmation of Earl Warren as chief justice of the supreme court added nothing to congressional prestige.

The way things are shaping up now, after all the committees have gone through their investigatory orgies, there will be a plenitude of business in the last frantic weeks of the session. There will be a mad rush to get home by Fourth of July. That way, the congressmen will have plenty of time to play politics. It's the one thing they seem to know how to do best now.

NEW RED PROPS

Air force intelligence officers rushed to the offices of Aviation Week magazine the other day when that publication printed two photographs of brand-new turbo-prop Russian bombers. The turbo-prop is a combination of jet and propeller power which both the U. S. air force and navy are trying hard to perfect.

The pictures showed a six-engine plane called the TU-200 and a four-engine bomber called the IL-38. They are supposed to be the latest in Soviet bombers, but air force intelligence apparently had no comparable information on them. The magazine gladly gave out copies of the photos, but would not reveal how it got the originals.

The most disturbing thing about the pictures to the air force was that they showed a big blimp under the nose of the planes. What that indicated was that the Russians might have something similar to the U. S. air force's very efficient and supersecret radar bombing system.

OVERPOWERING SPEECH

Pentagon sources are quietly trying to debunk the recent speech of Rep. W. Sterling Cole of New York, chairman of the joint congressional committee on atomic energy, in which he described the fantastic power of the hydrogen bomb.

"They made the same kind of statements about the power of atomic bombs," says our Pentagoner, "but when we got to really test it carefully, we found it wasn't nearly the tremendous thing they claimed it was. We think the H-bomb will turn out the same way."

TIMES-NEWS, TWIN FALLS, IDAHO

POT SHOTS

TIME AND TIDE

Dear Shooter:

Two overtime parkers, apprehended and fined, were discussing the whole sordid business the other day in a local eatery. One of them said: "I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

The other overtime parker, who apparently had enough up his back recently, growled that it was all too possible to do the same. Why, he said, "I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

"I'm not afraid of the failure of the administration to prove it was too possible to purchase time. Meter of fact, the city was in the business of getting time via the public and getting money via the public and getting meters."

Twin Falls, Jerome Rated Among Favorites in Class A Tournament Opening Today

By T. E. Associated Press
This is a state high school basketball championship in Twin Falls, Idaho, today, with the floor in quest of the class A and class B teams.

The class A tourney will begin today at 1 p.m. The class B tourney will be held today and night. The class B tourney will be held today and night.

Crusader Coach Calls Team Best in Country

NEW YORK, March 15 (UPI)—The coach of triumphant Holy Cross hailed his National Invitational tournament champions Monday as "the greatest team in the nation" and predicted that, because three of its key players are sophomores, it will be a court power again next season.

"We won the tournament, and we're the best team in the country, because the kids are so smart they can play four different offenses and many, many different defenses," said elated crusader Coach Lester (Buster) Sheary.

"And remember," Sheary pointed out, "three of my starters are only sophomores."

One of those sophie, baby-faced center Tom Heimsohn was "the boy who beat us," according to coach Don Haskins of the University of Duquesne, which was upset by the Crusaders in the thrilling final Saturday night, 71-62. The others are outside set shot Joe Liebler and hard-driving Don Phoenix.

The Crusader sophomores on the Crusader first team were Tom Palenzona, who was voted the tourney's "most valuable player" as the belweather of the Crusader attack with 77 points in three games, and Ron Pfeifer, the team's playmaker who "runs the show" on the floor for the champs.

Palenzona and Heimsohn were unanimous selections on the all-tournament team, announced Monday by the United States Athletic Association. Among the first team were St. Green, Duquesne, and Maurice Blokes (who scored 62 points in two games); St. Francis, Loretto, Pa. The fifth man to be on the tourney's all-tournament Western Conference after a close battle with Dick Rickets, Duquesne.

Named to the second team with Palenzona were Jerry Johnson, Dayton; Art Edmiston, St. Louis; Costello, one of two third-place Niagara. Honorable mention went to Al Bianchi and Jim Gerber, Bowling Green; Chris Harris, Davison, and Forrest Able, Western Kentucky.

Rensselaer Wins NCAA Hockey Title

COLORADO SPRINGS, March 15 (UPI)—Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute hockey team won berths on the Associated Press NCAA all-tournament team, and a fifth was named the tourney's most valuable player.

Named to the list for the new NCAA champions is Bob Fox, junior goalie from Verdun, Quebec, who got a first team vote from every coach, official sportswriter and broadsheet editor in the country.

Athie Moore, senior RPI center from Ottawa, received the most valuable player award, although named only to the all-tournament second team. The third period hook shot, second all-tournament team, sent the RPI-Minnesota this game to overtime before RPI triumphed 8-4 Saturday night.

The Troy, N. Y., skaters nailed down both wing positions on the all-tournament team. Coach Frank Chiarisi, Ottawa, was honored for his puck control and clever stick work and Gordon Peterkin, also of Ottawa, for his ability to produce goals when they were needed.

The other engineer placed on the all-star squad was Jim Pope, junior defense man from Ottawa.

Minnesota's scoring ace, John Maysach, Evelyn, Minn., whose 100 points in 24 games led the tournament scoring record, was named center. Rounding out the first team was Kenneth Yackel, whose defense work was a key reason Minnesota was able to carry the championship into overtime. Yackel lived in St. Paul.

Exhibition Baseball

BROOKLYN (N.Y.) 8, Boston 4
Chicago (Ill.) 10, St. Louis 4
New York (N.Y.) 18, Cleveland 10
Philadelphia (Pa.) 14, Detroit 11
St. Louis (Mo.) 10, Pittsburgh 8
Milwaukee (Wis.) 10, Cincinnati 9
Brooklyn (N.Y.) 10, Pittsburgh 11
Montreal (Pa.) 11, Pittsburgh 11
Chicago (Ill.) 10, Chicago 10
St. Louis (Mo.) 10, San Diego 6

LENNON KEEPS TITLE
JACKSONVILLE, Fla., March 15 (UPI)—Art Larson, San Leandro, Calif., defeated Kurt Nielsen, Durango, Colo., 6-2, 6-1, to retain his Masters invitation title.

GINO NICOLINI
Gino has Tony Burns as his foil in the semi-final at Radio Rondeau. The two are competing in the first round of the tournament. Jack Kline, Karl Gary, in the opening bout Dale Kline appears against Frank Fekete. Tickets on advance sale at Wray's Cafe, Sportshop and Reddick's Adv.

By T. E. Associated Press

and Billie Thompson, who play for Twin Falls.

St. Louis' Larson will compete for the A championship and Gary for the B laurels.

The B field was completed Saturday night when Larson and Wilder and a regional champion. They joined earlier qualifiers North Green and North High in the finals. Larson won the regional title by defeating Middleton 4-3. Larson's record: 14-44 to 4-30. Larson's record: 14-44 to 4-30.

At Pocatello, where both the win and the loss were shared in the state tourney, North Green defeated North March 19 for the regional championship Saturday night.

The class A field is assured of a final round Saturday night after the elimination of defending Idaho Falls. Four teams—on the basis of their records—are rated the best to succeed the Tigers. They are: South Fremont of Blaine County, McCall, Twin Falls and Jerome.

The first-round schedule: Monday night, 5:30, Lewiston vs. McCall; 7:30, Pocatello vs. Jerome; 9:30, Boise vs. South. Tuesday night, 5:30, Caldwell vs. Sandpoint; 7, Twin Falls vs. McCall; 8:30, Pocatello vs. Jerome; 10:30, Jerome vs. Preston.

Schedule for Tuesday, March 16
McCall vs. Lewiston, 5:30
Boise vs. South, 7:30
Caldwell vs. Sandpoint, 8:30
Twin Falls vs. Jerome, 10:30
Pocatello vs. Jerome, 12:30
Jerome vs. Preston, 1:30

Schedule for Tuesday, March 23
McCall vs. Lewiston, 5:30
Boise vs. South, 7:30
Caldwell vs. Sandpoint, 8:30
Twin Falls vs. Jerome, 10:30
Pocatello vs. Jerome, 12:30

Schedule for Tuesday, March 30
McCall vs. Lewiston, 5:30
Boise vs. South, 7:30
Caldwell vs. Sandpoint, 8:30
Twin Falls vs. Jerome, 10:30
Pocatello vs. Jerome, 12:30

CHURCH LEAGUE

CHURCH LEAGUE
United States, 26 12
Baptists, 24 14
Catholics, 24 14
Presbyterians, 24 14
Methodists, 18 22
Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Presbyterians, 18 22

CHURCH LEAGUE
Baptists vs. Episcopalians, 18 22

PUT OUR WAY

By WILLIAM



IDE GLANCES

By GALBRAITH



"Get this straight now, men--only two bases on a broken window!"

CARNIVAL


By DICK TURNER



"Something's going to have to improve around here, Pat--either his playing or my fighting!"

GUMPS

By NEHER



"Something's going to have to improve around here, Pat--either his playing or my fighting!"

LD DUCK

By WALT DISNEY

VIC FLIPI CAPTAIN EASY

BOOTS GASOLINE ALLEY BUGS BUNNY

DIXIE DUGAN

SCORCHY

LIL' ABNER

ALLEY OOP

